

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/664,671             | 09/17/2003  | Hiromichi Inoue      | JCLA12230               | 7305             |
| 759                    | 07/24/2006  |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| J.C. Patents           |             |                      | WU, SHEAN CHIU          |                  |
| Suite 250<br>4 Venture |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| Irvine, CA 9261        | 518         |                      | 1756                    | <del></del>      |
|                        |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006 | 5                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary                                |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |         | _ |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|---------|---|--|--|--|
|  |  | 10/664,671   | INOUE ET AL.   |         |   |  |  |  |
|  |  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |         |   |  |  |  |
|  |  | Shean C. Wu  | 1756   |         |   |  |  |  |
| Period fe  | The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>or Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the   | e correspondence ad  | ddress  |   |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If NO<br>- Failu<br>Any | CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISSING OF THE MAILING OF THE MAI | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO | ON.  timely filed  mailing date of this one NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |         |   |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |         |   |  |  |  |
| 1)🛛  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ju  | <u>ıly 2006</u> .  |  |         |   |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u> □  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |  |  |         |   |  |  |  |
| 3)□  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |         |   |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under E   | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,   | 453 O.G. 213.  |         |   |  |  |  |
| Disposit   | ion of Claims  |  |  |         |   |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |         |   |  |  |  |
| •  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |         |   |  |  |  |
| 5)⊠  | ☑ Claim(s) <u>13-25</u> is/are allowed.  |  |  |         |   |  |  |  |
| . 6)⊠  | Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.  |  |  |         |   |  |  |  |
| _  | Claim(s) <u>6,8,11 and 12</u> is/are objected to.  |  |  |         |   |  |  |  |
| 8)[  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | r election requirement.  |  | •       |   |  |  |  |
| Applicat   | ion Papers   |  |  |         |   |  |  |  |
| 9)[  | The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.   |  |         |   |  |  |  |
| 10)[   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce  | epted or b) objected to by the   | e Examiner.  |         |   |  |  |  |
| •  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S  | See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |         |   |  |  |  |
| —  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti  |  | •  | • •     |   |  |  |  |
| 11)  | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-  | aminer. Note the attached Office   | ce Action or form P  | TO-152. |   |  |  |  |
| Priority ι   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |         |   |  |  |  |
|  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign<br>☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   | (a)-(d) or (f).  |         |   |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents  | s have been received.  |  |         |   |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents  | • •  | <u> </u>   |         |   |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior   | •  | ived in this National  | Stage   |   |  |  |  |
| * 0  | application from the International Bureau  | , , , ,  |  |         |   |  |  |  |
|  | See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the action for a  | or the certified copies not recei  | vea.   |         |   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |         |   |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  |  | <u> </u>   |  |         |   |  |  |  |
|  | e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail  |  |         |   |  |  |  |
| 3) 🔲 Infon   | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date  |  | Patent Application (PT   | O-152)  |   |  |  |  |
| rape   | - 110(3)/Mail Date   | o, 🗀 Ouler   |  |         |   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/664,671 Page 2

Art Unit: 1756

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stanjek et al. (US 6,440,328 or CAPLUS 2000: 772323).

The references disclose polymerizable liquid crystalline compounds represented by the formula (1) or RN 302897-21-8. Also see Examples 2-3 and 5-6. The reference compounds anticipate the claimed compound.

3. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by CAPLUS 1973: 536255.

The reference discloses a compound represented by RN 41507-64-6. The reference compound anticipates the claimed compound including compound (a) in Claim 7.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/664,671 Page 3

Art Unit: 1756

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stanjek et al. (US 6,440,328 or CAPLUS 2000: 772323).

The references differ from the present claims in that the present claimed composition contains at least two polymerizable compounds in which at least one polymerizable compound is the compound of formula (1). Because the reference compounds is a polymerizable liquid crystalline compound, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art to utilize the reference compound or the homologous compounds as components for liquid crystalline composition.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 13-25 are allowed.
- 7. Claim 6, 8 and 10-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 7/5/06 with respect to the rejections in the previous Office action have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been

Application/Control Number: 10/664,671 Page 4

Art Unit: 1756

withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, new grounds of rejection are made in the sections 2-3 and 5 cited above.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shean C. Wu whose telephone number is 571-272-1393. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1756